

4.4 – Linear Independence

Definition: If $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ is a set of two or more vectors in a vector space V , then S is said to be a **linearly independent set** if no vector in S can be expressed as a linear combination of the others. A set that is not linearly independent is said to be **linearly dependent**. If S has only one vector, we will agree that it is linearly independent if and only if that vector is nonzero.

$\{\hat{i}, \hat{j}\} = \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

$\{\hat{i}, \hat{j}, (2,3)\}$ is not, since $2\hat{i} + 3\hat{j} = (2,3)$
 $\hat{i} = (1,0), \hat{j} = (0,1)$ $(a,0) + (0,b) = (a,b)$

$$a\hat{i} + b\hat{j} = \vec{0} \quad \rightarrow \quad 0\hat{i} + 0\hat{j} = \vec{0} \quad \checkmark$$

Theorem 4.4.1 A nonempty set $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ in a vector space V is linearly independent if and only if the only coefficients satisfying the vector equation $k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_r v_r = \vec{0}$ are $k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0, \dots, k_r = 0$.

pf: ($\Rightarrow$) Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ be a linearly independent set. Suppose $\exists k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$ not all zero such that $k_1 \vec{v}_1 + k_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + k_r \vec{v}_r = \vec{0}$.

If $k_i \neq 0$, then $k_i \vec{v}_i = -k_1 \vec{v}_1 - k_2 \vec{v}_2 - \dots - k_r \vec{v}_r$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_i = -\frac{k_1}{k_i} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{k_2}{k_i} \vec{v}_2 - \dots - \frac{k_r}{k_i} \vec{v}_r, \text{ a}$$

linear combination of the vectors $\vec{v}_j, j \neq i$, contradicting linear independence.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $\{\vec{v}_i\}$ is not a linearly independent set.

Then $\vec{v}_i = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_r \vec{v}_r$ for some i .

$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_i - c_1 \vec{v}_1 - c_2 \vec{v}_2 - \dots - c_r \vec{v}_r = \vec{0}$, contradicting that all the coefficients are zero. ✓

The standard basis vectors for $\mathbb{R}^n$ are a linearly independent set.

#3 In each part, determine whether the vectors are linearly independent or are linearly dependent in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

a. $\{(3, 8, 7, -3), (1, 5, 3, -1), (2, -1, 2, 6), (4, 2, 6, 4)\} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$

b. $(3, 0, -3, 6), (0, 2, 3, 1), (0, -2, -2, 0), (-2, 1, 2, 1)$

a) Can we have $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3 + c_4 \vec{v}_4 = \vec{0}$

if $c_1, \dots, c_4$ are not all zero? That is:

Does $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ have only the trivial solution, where $A = [\vec{v}_1 \ \vec{v}_2 \ \vec{v}_3 \ \vec{v}_4]$?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & -1 & 2 \\ 7 & 3 & 2 & 6 \\ -3 & -1 & 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & -1 & 2 \\ 7 & 3 & 2 & 6 \\ -3 & -1 & 6 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$R_4 \rightarrow R_4 + R_1$$

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & -1 & 2 \\ 7 & 3 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 \end{vmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{it turns} \\ \text{out} \\ \underline{\underline{0}} \end{matrix}$$

There is a nontrivial solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

SO the set is dependent.

Row reduction yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{So } c_4 \text{ is free}$$

b) You can verify that $\det(A)$ (whose columns are the vectors) is $\neq 0$.

The set is independent.

#5 In each part, determine whether the matrices are linearly independent or dependent.

a. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in M_{22}

b. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in M_{23}

a) $c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_2 + 0 &= 0 \\ 0 + 2c_2 + c_3 &= 0 \\ c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 &= 0 \\ 2c_1 + c_2 + c_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each column has a pivot, so the set is independent.

b) is independent by inspection
(we looked at it).

#9 $(0, 21, 7, -7) + (-12, 0, -10, -2) + (12, -21, 3, 9)$

a. Show that the three vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = (0, 3, 1, -1)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (6, 0, 5, 1)$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = (4, -7, 1, 3)$ form a linearly dependent set in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

b. Express each vector in part (a) as a linear combination of the other two.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & -7 \\ -1 & 5 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a) Since there are only 2 pivots, the set is dependent.

b) so $c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ if $c_1 = \frac{7}{3}c_3$ and $c_2 = -\frac{2}{3}c_3$. Let $c_3 = 3$. Then

$$c_1 = 7, c_2 = -2, \text{ and}$$

$$7\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \frac{2}{7}\vec{v}_2 - \frac{3}{7}\vec{v}_3, \text{ etc.}$$

#14 In each part, let $T_A : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be multiplication by A and let $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{u}_2 = (2, -1, 1)$, and $\mathbf{u}_3 = (0, 1, 1)$. Determine whether the set $\{T_A(\mathbf{u}_1), T_A(\mathbf{u}_2), T_A(\mathbf{u}_3)\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

a. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$T_A(\vec{u}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} \quad \swarrow \quad \swarrow \quad \swarrow \quad c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3 + 1\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

Theorem 4.4.2

- a) A set with finitely many vectors that contains $\mathbf{0}$ is linearly dependent.
- b) A set with exactly two vectors is linearly independent if and only if neither vector is a scalar multiple of the other.

Theorem 4.4.3 Let $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$ be a set of vectors in R^n . If $r > n$, then S is linearly dependent.

this is because a matrix equation for the associated homogeneous system has more columns than rows and so contains free variables.

Definition: If $f_1 = f_1(x)$, $f_2 = f_2(x)$, ..., $f_n = f_n(x)$ are functions that are $n-1$ times differentiable on the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, then the determinant

$$W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \cdots & f_n(x) \\ f_1'(x) & f_2'(x) & \cdots & f_n'(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) & f_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

is called the **Wronskian** of $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$.

Theorem 4.4.4 If the functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ have $n-1$ continuous derivatives on the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, and if the Wronskian of these functions is not identically zero on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then these functions form a linearly independent set of vectors in $C^{(n-1)}(-\infty, \infty)$.

#19 Use the Wronskian to show that the following sets of vectors are linearly independent.

a. $1, x, e^x$

b. $1, x, x^2$

$$a) \vec{f}_1 = f_1(x) = 1, \vec{f}_2 = f_2(x) = x, \vec{f}_3 = f_3(x) = e^x$$

$$W(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & e^x \\ 0 & 1 & e^x \\ 0 & 0 & e^x \end{vmatrix} = e^x \neq 0 \quad \forall x.$$

so $\{1, x, e^x\}$ is independent.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sin^2 x & \cos 2x \\ 0 & \sin 2x & -2\sin 2x \\ 0 & 2\cos 2x & -4\cos 2x \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} \sin 2x & -2\sin 2x \\ 2\cos 2x & -4\cos 2x \end{vmatrix} \equiv 0$$

